

## ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

L. COLLINS

We cover the following first/second order ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The *order* of an ODE is determined by the highest order derivative present in the equation. By *ordinary*, we mean that the derivatives are of a function ( $y$ ) of only one independent variable ( $x$ ).

### FIRST ORDER ODES

#### (1) Separable

These are equations which can be brought to the form

$$f(y) dy = g(x) dx.$$

Integrating both sides gives the general solution.

#### (2) Exact Equations

These are equations whose left hand side is an exact differential, i.e., the result of applying the product rule to some function of  $x$  and  $y$ . In general, they have the form

$$f'(x) g(y) + f(x) g'(y) \frac{dy}{dx} = h(x),$$

or, in terms of differentials,

$$f'(x) g(y) dx + f(x) g'(y) dy = h(x) dx,$$

which can be transformed to

$$d(f(x) g(y)) = h(x) dx.$$

Integrating both sides gives the general solution.

#### (3) Linear Equations

First order equations of the form

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + f(x) y = g(x)$$

are said to be *linear*. They can be reduced to exact equations by multiplying throughout by

$$\mu(x) = \exp \left( \int f(x) dx \right),$$

known as the *integrating factor* (where  $\exp(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^x$ ).

## SECOND ORDER ODES

(1) **Homogeneous with Constant Coefficients**

A second order ODE with constant coefficients is *homogeneous* when it equals zero. In other words, we consider the equation

$$a \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b \frac{dy}{dx} + cy = 0$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants. First, we solve the **auxiliary equation**

$$ak^2 + bk + c = 0$$

whose solutions are  $k = k_1$  and  $k = k_2$ . The general solution is then given by

$$y(x) = \begin{cases} c_1 e^{k_1 x} + c_2 e^{k_2 x} & \text{if } k_1 \neq k_2 \\ c_1 e^{kx} + c_2 x e^{kx} & \text{if } k = k_1 = k_2 \\ e^{\alpha x} (c_1 \cos \beta x + c_2 \sin \beta x) & \text{if } k = \alpha \pm \beta i \in \mathbb{C}, \end{cases}$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are arbitrary constants.

(2) **Inhomogeneous with Constant Coefficients**

A differential equation is *inhomogeneous* if it is not homogeneous. Here we consider the equation

$$a \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b \frac{dy}{dx} + cy = f(x) \neq 0$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants. We solve by following these steps:

(i) Solve the **homogeneous equation**

$$a \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b \frac{dy}{dx} + cy = 0$$

to obtain the **complementary function**  $cf(x)$ .

(ii) Guess a **trial solution**, i.e., a function  $ts(x)$  which, when substituted in the left-hand side of the equation, is likely to result in  $f(x)$ . [Table 1](#) suggests trial solutions for common elementary functions  $f$ . Note that even if some of the constants  $a, b, \dots$  in  $f$  are zero, the corresponding constants  $\lambda, \mu, \dots$  in the trial solution should not be assumed zero. For example, if  $f(x) = x^2$ , then we still take the trial solution to be  $\lambda x^2 + \mu x + \eta$ . Similarly, the function  $f(x) = x^2 + \sin 2x$  has trial solution  $\lambda x^2 + \mu x + \eta + \zeta \cos 2x + \tau \sin 2x$ .

(iii) Determine the derivatives  $ts'(x)$  and  $ts''(x)$ , and substitute them into the LHS of the original ODE. Compare coefficients to determine correct values for the constants so that the result will equal  $f(x)$ .

The trial solution with the constant(s) found is called the **particular integral**,  $pi(x)$ .

(iv) The general solution is given by  $y(x) = cf(x) + pi(x)$ .

	$f(x)$	Trial Solution, $ts(x)$
Polynomials	$a$	$\lambda$
	$ax + b$	$\lambda x + \mu$
	$ax^2 + bx + c$	$\lambda x^2 + \mu x + \eta$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
Exponentials <sup>†</sup>	$ae^{\alpha x}$	$\lambda e^{\alpha x}$ if $k_1 \neq \alpha \neq k_2$
		$\lambda x e^{\alpha x}$ if $k_1 = \alpha \neq k_2$
		$\lambda x^2 e^{\alpha x}$ if $k_1 = \alpha = k_2$
Trigonometric	$a \cos \alpha x + b \sin \alpha x$	$\lambda \cos \alpha x + \mu \sin \alpha x$

<sup>†</sup> Note that  $k_1, k_2$  are the solutions to the auxiliary equation solved in part (i).

TABLE 1. Trial solutions of common elementary functions.

**Remark: Why does this method work?** Differentiation is an operator, that is, a function whose inputs and outputs are themselves functions. If we denote the differentiation of  $f$  by  $D[f]$ , then both  $f$  and  $D[f]$  are functions, which when evaluated at  $x$ , yield the numbers  $f(x)$  and  $D[f](x)$  respectively. The symbol  $D$  alone denotes differentiation as a function in its own right. (In Leibniz notation, this is the difference between  $\frac{dy}{dx}$ , which is the function  $D[f]$  whose inputs are numbers, and  $\frac{d}{dx}$ , which is equivalent to  $D$  and whose inputs are functions.)

In general, an operator  $L$  is *linear* if for any two functions  $f$  and  $g$ ,

$$L[f + g] = L[f] + L[g] \quad \text{and} \quad L[\alpha f] = \alpha L[f],$$

where  $\alpha$  is any constant. Indeed, the differential operator  $D$  is linear, e.g., if for all  $x$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are defined by  $f(x) = \sin x$  and  $g(x) = x^2$ , then

$$D[2f + 3g](x) = 2 \cos x + 6x = 2D[f](x) + 3D[g](x),$$

i.e.,  $D[2f + 3g] = 2D[f] + 3D[g]$ .

Studying linear operators abstractly is useful. Let  $\mathbf{0}$  denote the zero function, i.e., the function defined by  $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ . Note that this is different from zero; the former is a function, the latter is a number. Now if  $L$  is a linear operator, the set of functions which are mapped to  $\mathbf{0}$  by  $L$  is called the *kernel*, denoted  $\ker(L)$ . In other words,

$$f \in \ker(L) \iff L[f] = \mathbf{0}.$$

The function  $\mathbf{0}$  itself is in the kernel of any linear operator  $L$ . Indeed, since for any function  $f$ , we have  $(0f)(x) = 0f(x) = 0 = \mathbf{0}(x)$  for all  $x$ , then  $0f = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence since  $L$  is linear,

$$L[\mathbf{0}] = L[0\mathbf{0}] = 0L[\mathbf{0}] = \mathbf{0},$$

so  $\mathbf{0} \in \ker(L)$ .

The kernel of a linear operator  $L$  can tell us a lot about it, such as whether or not  $L$  is invertible. Recall that in general, a function  $F$  has an inverse if and only if it is one-to-one, i.e., if for all  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $F(x) = F(y)$  implies that  $x = y$ . Applying this reasoning to linear operators, it is easy to see that for  $L$  to have an inverse  $L^{-1}$ , only

$\mathbf{0}$  must be in its kernel. Indeed,  $\mathbf{0} \in \ker(L)$  for any  $L$  by the argument above; but if  $f \in \ker(L)$  where  $f \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then by definition of  $\ker(L)$ , we have  $L[f] = \mathbf{0} = L[\mathbf{0}]$ , but  $f \neq \mathbf{0}$ . This contradicts the definition of one-to-one.

What is the the kernel  $\ker(D)$  of the differentiation operator  $D$ ? By now, we know that  $\ker(D)$  is precisely the set of *constant functions*, such as the function  $\mathbf{3}$  where  $\mathbf{3}(x) = 3$  for all  $x$ .

Now we finally address the problem of solving differential equations. The simplest differential equation is the implicit one in the evaluation of an indefinite integral  $\int f(x) dx$ , since this is equivalent to finding a solution  $y(x)$  for the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x);$$

or with the operator notation,  $D[y] = f$ . Now if  $D$  were invertible, the solution would simply be  $y = D^{-1}[f]$ , but unfortunately the situation is not as simple, since as we have just seen,  $\ker(D) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . So how do we solve this problem? What we usually do is determine a particular function  $y_p$  by the techniques of integration, and then write

$$\int f(x) dx = y_p + c$$

where  $c$  is an “arbitrary constant”. The addition of this constants incorporates *all* solutions to the differential equation. In view of the theory of kernels we have developed, this is equivalent to doing  $y_p + \mathbf{c}$  for any constant function  $\mathbf{c} \in \ker(D)$ . Indeed, if  $y_p$  is a solution, it makes sense that  $y_p + \mathbf{c}$  is also a solution, since

$$D[y_p + \mathbf{c}] = D[y_p] + D[\mathbf{c}] = D[y_p] + \mathbf{0} = D[y_p].$$

But how does this incorporate *all* solutions? Say we want to solve  $L[y] = f$  for any linear operator  $L$ . Let  $y_p$  be a particular solution we found somehow, and let  $y$  represent any other solution. By linearity,

$$L[y - y_p] = L[y] - L[y_p] = f - f = \mathbf{0},$$

so  $y - y_p \in \ker(L)$ , i.e.,  $y - y_p = k$  for some function  $k \in \ker(L)$ . Thus

$$y = y_p + k.$$

Hence we have shown that any solution  $y$  to the equation  $L[y] = f$  can be written as the particular solution  $y_p$  plus some member of the kernel, and it follows that all solutions are given by  $y = y_p + k$  for  $k \in \ker(L)$ .

Essentially, this is what the method described is doing. Instead of simply having  $\frac{dy}{dx} = f$  though, we have equations of the form

$$a \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b \frac{dy}{dx} + cy = f,$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to see that the left-hand side is also a linear operator, since it inherits linearity from the operators  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ ,  $\frac{d}{dx}$  and the identity ( $I[y] = y$ ).

indeed, if we define  $L[y] = a\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b\frac{dy}{dx} + cy$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} L[f + g] &= a\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(f + g) + b\frac{d}{dx}(f + g) + c(f + g) \\ &= a\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + b\frac{d^2g}{dx^2} + cf + a\frac{d^2g}{dx^2} + b\frac{d^2g}{dx^2} + cg \\ &= L[f] + L[g], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L[\alpha f] = a\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(\alpha f) + b\frac{d}{dx}(\alpha f) + c(\alpha f) = \alpha(a\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + b\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + cf) = \alpha L[f].$$

When defining such operators, we sometimes abuse notation slightly and write  $L = a\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b\frac{d}{dx} + c$  or  $L = aD^2 + bD + cI$  instead of  $L[y] = a\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + b\frac{dy}{dx} + cy$  for all  $y$ . Since the given equation is equivalent to  $L[y] = f$ , we may also write

$$\left(a\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b\frac{d}{dx} + c\right)[y] = f \quad \text{or} \quad (aD^2 + bD + cI)[y] = f.$$

Let's take an example, say,

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 5\frac{dy}{dx} + 6y = \cos 2x.$$

In this case, we have the operator  $L = D^2 - 5D + 6I$ . The first thing we need to do is to study this operator  $L$ , in particular, we need to find its kernel. In general, operators of the form  $aD^2 + bD + cI$  have an exponential function  $f(x) = e^{kx}$  in their kernel for some value of  $k$ .

Indeed, since  $D[f](x) = ke^{kx}$  and  $D^2[f](x) = k^2e^{kx}$ , then

$$L[f](x) = ak^2e^{kx} + bke^{kx} + ce^{kx} = e^{kx}(ak^2 + bk + c).$$

Since  $e^{kx} \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), it follows that  $L[f] = \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $k$  is a solution to the auxiliary equation  $ak^2 + bk + c = 0$ . There are some technical details as to why we take different general solutions depending on the multiplicity of  $k$ , or whether it is real or complex, but essentially, the first step of the solution process is determining the kernel  $\ker(L)$  of the linear operator defined by the left-hand side. This is what the complementary function achieves.

The trial solution part of the method is effectively just a guess for a particular solution (hence its name),  $y_p$ . Once a correct solution is found, the general solution is given by  $y = y_p + k$ , as described in the general framework of linear operators.

And that's why it works.

**For the unsatisfied: why the kernel comprises exponentials.** If you're bothered by the fact that I didn't explain why the kernel functions look like  $e^{kx}$ , then I'll explain it briefly here.

Something cool about operators of the form  $aD^2 + bD + cI$  is that we can actually "factorise" them, just like we do with quadratics. Indeed, we know that if the roots of the quadratic  $ak^2 + bk + c$  are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then we may write the quadratic as  $a(k - \alpha)(k - \beta)$ . Amazingly, we get that

$$aD^2 + bD + cI = a(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I),$$

where for two operators  $L$  and  $M$ , their product  $ML$  means “do  $L$ , and then then do  $M$ ” (just like functional composition, we could also write this as  $M \circ L$ ). For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}(D - 2I)(D - 3I)[y] &= (D - 2I)[y' - 3y] \\ &= (y' - 3y)' - 2(y' - 3y) = y'' - 5y' + 6y.\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, it’s straightforward to verify that we can factorise in the general case. Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the roots of  $ak^2 + bk + c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(a(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I))[y] &= (a(D - \alpha I))[y' - \beta y] \\ &= a((y' - \beta y)' - \alpha(y' - \beta y)) \\ &= a(y'' - (\alpha + \beta)y' + \alpha\beta y) \\ &= a(y'' + \frac{b}{a}y' + \frac{c}{a}y) \\ &= ay'' + by' + cy \\ &= (aD^2 + bD + cI)[y].\end{aligned}$$

Ok, so we can factorise these operators. How does it help us? Well, to solve the homogeneous equation  $(aD^2 + bD + cI)[y] = \mathbf{0}$ , we can assume  $a \neq 0$  and divide by  $a$ , and factorise the operator as  $(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I)$  (using complex roots if necessary). Thus our goal has now become to solve  $(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I)[y] = \mathbf{0}$ .

Now  $y$  is a solution to  $(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I)[y]$  if the result of evaluating  $(D - \beta I)[y]$  is in the kernel of  $(D - \alpha I)$ . The kernel of  $(D - \alpha I)$  is precisely the set of all functions  $f$  which satisfy

$$(D - \alpha I)[f] = \mathbf{0},$$

i.e., the set of solutions to  $f' - \alpha f = 0$ , or,  $f' = \alpha f$ . This is a separable first order ODE, whose general solution is  $f(x) = c_1 e^{\alpha x}$ . Thus  $y$  is a solution to  $(D - \alpha I)(D - \beta I)[y]$  precisely when it is a function of this kind, i.e., if

$$(D - \beta I)[y] = c_1 e^{\alpha x}$$

for some  $c_1$ . Now, what we have here is a linear first order differential equation, since we can write it in the usual notation as

$$y' - \beta y = c_1 e^{\alpha x}.$$

Setting  $\mu(x) = e^{-\beta x}$  as our integrating factor, we multiply throughout by  $\mu(x)$  to get that this equation is equivalent to

$$e^{-\beta x} y' - \beta e^{-\beta x} y = c_1 e^{(\alpha-\beta)x} \iff \frac{d}{dx}(y e^{-\beta x}) = c_1 e^{(\alpha-\beta)x}.$$

Thus, the general solution is

$$y(x) = e^{\beta x} \left( c_1 \int e^{(\alpha-\beta)x} dx \right).$$

At this point, we have three cases for the integral, depending on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

(i)  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , both real roots. In this case, we work out the integral obtaining

$$y(x) = c_1 e^{\beta x} \left( \frac{e^{(\alpha-\beta)x}}{\alpha - \beta} + c_2 \right) = \frac{c_1}{\alpha - \beta} e^{\alpha x} + c_1 c_2 e^{\beta x} = C_1 e^{\alpha x} + C_2 e^{\beta x},$$

where we can relabel the constants as we did, since given any  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can set  $c_1 = (\alpha + \beta)C_1$  and  $c_2 = C_2/c_1$  in the above. Notice that we are dividing by  $\alpha - \beta$ , so it is crucial that  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

(ii)  $\alpha = \beta$ , repeated real root. In this case, the integral is simply  $\int 1 dx$ , so we have

$$y(x) = c_1 e^{\beta x} (x + c_2) = e^{\beta x} (c_1 x + c_1 c_2) = C_1 e^{\beta x} + C_2 x e^{\beta x},$$

where the relabelling is justified by setting  $c_1 = C_2/C_1$  and  $c_2 = C_1$ .

(iii)  $\alpha, \beta = \sigma \pm i\tau$ , complex roots. In this case, the integral is  $\int e^{2\tau i x} dx = \int (\cos(2\tau x) + i \sin(2\tau x)) dx$ , which gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= c_1 e^{(\sigma - \tau i)x} \left( \frac{\sin(2\tau x)}{2\tau} - i \frac{\cos(2\tau x)}{2\tau} + c_2 \right) \\ &= \frac{c_1 e^{\sigma x}}{2\tau} (\cos(\tau x) - i \sin(\tau x)) (\sin(2\tau x) - i \cos(2\tau x) + 2\tau c_2) \\ &= \frac{c_1 e^{\sigma x}}{2\tau} (2\tau c_2 \cos(\tau x) + \sin(\tau x) - (\cos(\tau x) + 2\tau c_2 \sin(\tau x))i) \\ &= e^{\sigma x} \left( \left( c_1 c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2\tau} i \right) \cos(\tau x) + \left( \frac{c_1}{2\tau} - c_1 c_2 i \right) \sin(\tau x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It might not be obvious, but given any constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we can put  $c_1 = C_2\tau + C_1\tau i$  and  $c_2 = (2C_1C_2 - (C_1^2 - C_2^2)i)/(2\tau(C_1^2 + C_2^2))$  and the above becomes

$$y(x) = e^{\sigma x} (C_1 \cos(\tau x) + C_2 \sin(\tau x)).$$

For our situation, want  $y(x)$  to be a real-valued function, so we restrict our solutions to when  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  (but in truth, this function is a solution to the homogeneous equation for any  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

And this is where the general solutions come from.